

Fundamental Algorithms 9 - Solution Examples

Exercise 1 (Parallel sort)

BUCKETSORTPRAM is a proposed parallel implementation of the BUCKETSORT algorithm in a PRAM, n processor model. `copy` is a sequential algorithm which takes an array A and an array of arrays B as arguments and copies the elements of B into A in order. The function `index` distributes the array elements *evenly* into buckets, i.e. elements are assigned to buckets with (roughly) the same probability. Moreover, larger elements are assigned to larger buckets, i.e. $\text{index}(a) < \text{index}(b)$ implies $a < b$. nb denotes the number of buckets used for the sorting.

Algorithm 1: BUCKETSORTPRAM

Input: A : Array[1.. n]
Result: A is sorted
 $B \leftarrow$ Array[1.. nb];
for $i = 1$ **to** n *in parallel* **do** `insert`($B[\text{index}(A[i])]$, $A[i]$);
for $i = 1$ **to** nb *in parallel* **do** `BubbleSort`($B[i]$);
`copy`(A , B);

1. For the two parallel loops in BUCKETSORTPRAM, state for both arrays A and B whether there is concurrent or exclusive read / write access to their elements.
2. Implement a parallel version of `copy` in an EREW PRAM model. You may use the following functions:
 - `len`(A): Returns the length of the array A in constant time.
 - `pfill`(A, v): Uses $\frac{n}{2}$ processors to set all entries of A to v in $O(\log n)$ time (binary fan-out).
 - `pPrefAdd`(A): Computes the addition-prefixes of A , i.e. for the returned array B we have that $B[i] = \sum_{k=1}^i A[k]$. The computation uses $\frac{n}{2}$ processors and $O(\log n)$ time.

What is the parallel complexity (depending on nb and l , the maximum length of any array in B), and how many processors can your algorithm use?

Solution:

1. In the first parallel loop, there is exclusive read access to A , but concurrent read and write access to the buckets B (as soon as two or more elements are assigned to the same bucket). In the second parallel loop, there is exclusive read and write to the bucket elements (each processor sorts exactly one bucket assigned to it exclusively).
2. The proposed algorithm requires $O(\log nb + \log l)$ steps on $p = \max\{n, nb\}$ processors.

Algorithm 2: COPYPRAM

Input: A : Array[1.. n]

B : Array[1.. nb]

Result: A contains all elements of B in order

$Len \leftarrow$ Array[1.. nb];

for $i = 1$ **to** nb *in parallel* **do** $Len[i] \leftarrow \text{len}(B[i])$;

$Pos \leftarrow \text{pPrefAdd}(Len)$;

for $i = 1$ **to** nb *in parallel* **do**

$len \leftarrow Len[i]$, $pos \leftarrow Pos[i] - Len[i]$, $arr \leftarrow B[i]$;

$PosI \leftarrow$ Array[1.. len];

$\text{pfill}(PosI, pos)$;

for $j = 1$ **to** len *in parallel* **do** $A[PosI[j] + j] \leftarrow arr[j]$;

end
